Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 03/07/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 9:

Pam Adams, Jack Baird, Torico Griffin, Jack Sandhofer

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **99** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **100**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did an excellent job on your sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (03/07/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 15 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 19 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 99 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 15 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 100 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report is very good
  + You did not include your level 0 DFD, but it was in the presentation
  + Your nav diagram is fine—be sure to watch the F2F lectures about the nav diagram
  + Your ERD is interesting since you say there is no relationship . . . Are you sure?
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Your non-functional requirements were good, but why is there a bullet highlighted in yellow?
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are good—see my comments below
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good—are you sure everyone only put in 18.25 hours? You have a lot of work done . . .
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation is good, but your burndown says 18.25 hours and you all say 14.5 . . . which is it?
* The Project plan is very good
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The non-functional requirements are good
* The UCD and CD are very good
* All sprint items were good
  + On product system backlog, you can just show items 30+ -- you do not have to show the documentation items
  + Item 45 is not a user requirement
* Your level 0 looks fine from what I see . . . all rules are followed. I would need you to walk me through it to verify its accuracy
* Your PSPI is good
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.95/4 = 73.75%. You can see I gave you a 100%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.